



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,733	09/15/2003	James H. Schwartz	1791A1	1487
7590 04/20/2005			EXAMINER	
PPG INDUST	RIES, INC. AL PROPERTY DEPT.	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M		
ONE PPG PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH, PA 15272			3742	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/662,733	SCHWARTZ ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leonid M Fastovsky	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a not period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	September 2004.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	<u>'</u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	3					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)🛛	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been rece					
• /	application from the International Bure						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5) ☐ Notice of Information (6) ☐ Other:	⊪ ratent Application (PTO-152)				
-1		-/	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-29 that have not been treated in the previous Office Action have been fully considered and are persuasive. The new Office Action is following below.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the term "the conductive member has a parallelepiped shape" in claim 26 has never been described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 9,11- 16, 18-20, 22-23, 25-27 and 29 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chrysochoos et al (5,824, 993) in view of
 Pinchok et al (5,886,321) and further in view of Carter et al (4,918,288).
 Chrysochoos teaches a transparency having a heatable wiper rest area (Fig. 1-2)
 comprising a rigid transparent glass sheet 10 having major surface, a wiper rest heating
 arrangement comprising an electrically conductive member 36 positioned within the

13-19).

sheet 10 (col. 5, lines 45-50), a plurality of bus bars 40 positioned in electrical contact along the conductive member 36, a first lead 38 to electrically interconnect selected ones of the bus bars 40, a second lead 44 to electrically interconnect other selected ones of the bus bars 40, and the leads 38 extend between the edge of the conductive member 36 and the selected edge in the wiper rest area of the glass sheet. However, Chrysochoos does not teach an opaque band, the heating conductor being a silver coating, and an insulating member between the first lead and the second lead. Pinchok discloses a transparency having a heated wiper rest area with an opaque band 26 positioned on selected marginal edge portion of the major surface (Fig. 2), and Carter teaches a heated transparency that comprises a silver electrically conductive coating 28 (col. 3, lines 25-40), an opaque band 32, a layer 24 that is electrically insulates lead 40 from the coating 28 and a bus bar (lead) 34 (col. 4, lines 13-19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Chrysochoos's invention to include an opaque band as taught by Pinchok's arrangement positioned on selected marginal edge portions of the major surface of the sheet in a fixed relationship to the wiper rest area (col. 3, lines 15-33), elements from Carter invention, namely a silver

As for claims 11-16, Chrysochoos teaches a first glass sheet 12, a plastic interlayer 18 and a second glass sheet 14.

coating to exhibits a proper resistivity (col. 3, lines 35-43), an opaque band to conceal

insulating layer to insulate the lead 40 from the lead 34 as taught by Carter (col. 4, lines

the bus bars and other elements of the heating circuit (col. 3, lines 48-50) and an

Art Unit: 3742

As for claims 7 and 18, Chrysochoos teaches first and second connectors 42 that are electrically connected to the first and second leads 38 and 44.

As for claim 26, Chrysochoos teaches the conductive member 36 having a parallelepiped shape

5. Claims 6, 17, 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chrysochoos in view Pinchok and Carter and further in view of Holzer et al (5,099,104).

Chrysochoos in view of Pinchok and Carter teaches substantially the claimed invention but does not teach a second conductive coating isolated from first coating. Holtzer discloses two conductive coating 8 electrically isolated from each other (Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Chrysochoos's in view of Pinchok and Carter invention to include a second coating electrically isolated from the first coating to serve as protective coating as taught by Holzer (col. 4, lines 5-19).

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chrysochoos in view of Pinchok and Carter and further in view of Tarnopol et al (3,772,075).

Chrysochoos in view of Pinchok and Carter teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach that the transparency is an automotive backlight. Tarnopol discloses an automotive backlight (col. 10, lines 21-29). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Chrysochoos in view of Pinchok and

Art Unit: 3742

Carter to include the transparency being an automotive tempered backlight as taught by Tarnopol (col. 10, lines 21-29).

7. Claims 8 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chrysochoos in view of Pinchok and Carter and further in view of Koontz et al (5,877,473).

Chrysochoos in view of Carter teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach at least three spaced bus bars. Koontz discloses four bus bars 106-109. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Chrysochoos in view of Carter to include additional spaced bus bars to electrically connect them to different power sources as taught by Koontz (col. 9, lines 26-35).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,733

Art Unit: 3742

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER